

**THE INDICTMENT OF SIGMUND
FREUD:
CHARGES:
MURDER: FIVE
COUNTS;
ADDITIONAL
COUNTS:
MAYHEM;
MUTILATION**

**FORENSIC PROFILE: SERIAL
KILLER (High I.Q. Type)**

Defendant Sigismund "Schlomo" Freudt (aka Dr. Sigmund Freud) is hereby indicted on multiple counts of MURDER, MAYHEM, AND MUTILATION. The definition of Serial Killer developed by the F.B.I. Department of Forensic Science is applicable to Defendant, to wit: Defendant has committed three or more murders, on three separate occasions, with a "cooling" off period between each murder.

Defendant's History

A Serial Killer (i.e., SK), Defendant Freud developed, at least by adolescence, all the incipient signs and indicators of a potential Serial Killer. His early letters are full of allusions to decapitations, death, and killing. He reveals a secret desire to murder the most attractive of his playmates and to bury them in special rituals. The torture of victims being burned at the stake is a repeatedly used image in his writings. By the age of 15, Defendant was writing about worms burrowing into the rotten thoughts of mankind. A member of a proto-Nazi political group, by the age of 15 he was already espousing political murder. By the age of fifteen, Defendant had already come under the criticism of school officials for hanging around bars and consorting with prostitutes. Though Jewish, Defendant habitually made use of anti-Semitic slurs, indicating that "shabby" Jews or those from certain geographical areas were "disposables." In keeping with the SK profile, Defendant early cherished a compulsion to become an important man "at any cost." Defendant admitted in his personal letters to wanting to commit mass murder.

Profiling Quotient: Unusually High.

This SK Defendant has an unusually close "fit" with the classical syndrome/profile—some some 19 pronounced characteristics on a scale of 22, where any 3 or 4 is potentially indicative of the type. On Dr. Abrahamson's Multiple Murderer Index, Defendant scores unusually high also. Indeed, the SK quotient is almost suspiciously high, which may, in fact, be due to the skewing effect resulting from Defendant's personal influence on the development of the forensic theory used to profile SK types—certainly a unique situation in the history of forensic psychology.

Type: "Roman Emperor"

Defendant is the "Roman Emperor" type of SK, and, in fact, had the ability to achieve world fame thus giving credence in his mind of being a sacred person who could permit himself anything, including the pleasure of torturing and killing people. It did not help matters when he attracted an international coterie of neurotic and psychopathic types ready to follow him to the ends of the world believing him to be a new Messiah of Science. The Roman Emperor Type, as with the Defendant, believes himself to be a God, a New Messiah for all the world to pray to and obey.

The Triangle Application

The so-called "Triangle," often referred to in the profiling of the type, is much in evidence with Defendant, namely: 1) fire fascinations (pyromania); 2) bed wetting (enuresis); and 3) use of torture on small animals or violently aggressive behavior. These characteristics, often found in children 10 or even younger in the developing SK type continued to be pronouncedly manifest in Defendant even into his twenties and beyond.

In adolescence, Defendant exhibited all the antisocial characteristics of the type, particularly a hatred for the social order and the nursing of a deep sense of resentment to the world for being dealt an unfair hand in life. This resentment, he claims, as with most SKs as well, is the well-spring of his entire mental life. It appears

Defendant did not attend school until the age of 10 years due to his arrested development.

Family Background

The family background was, according to Defendant's own confessions, filled with criminal incest. All the children in the family, male and female, between the ages of 6 months and 5 to 8 years and perhaps older were sexually assaulted by the grandfather/father Jacob Freud—a fact revealed by Defendant himself in private letters. These experiences are linked by Freud to his desires for necrophilia and even cannibalism—a response not infrequently met with for SKs with this type of background. It was also the basis Defendant had for his claim that *all cases* of neurosis were caused by the father's sexual assault of his children.

It is the view of the most informed prominent scholars who have examined Defendant's family background that his so-called father (actually his grandfather in Defendant's case) was a sexual pervert and probably a murderer—this is suggested on the basis of Jacob's fraudulent claims in official records—even without having properly identified Defendant's type as an SK. Defendant's mother is reported by Defendant to have had sex with her two sons-in-law, and probably also Defendant into adolescence, possibly beyond. Hence, these and other facts of family history and genealogy indicate Defendant's came from a family of rampant incest and intermarriage. Defendant's family was also engaged in criminal fraud, illegal sales of forged securities, and other clandestine activities. It is from these illegal doings that the Freud family gained its living. Numerous of the children in the immediate family were dysfunctional with unusually high numbers of cases of insanity, suicide, and even physical deformity. A combination of all these life-forces undoubtedly brought Defendant to his first fateful murder—an event that made him realize he was himself, at home, he had found himself, his secret career, he was at heart a Murderer, and, like many a SK before and after him, was very proud of the fact. Now it would be his task to prove to the world that everyone was at heart a murderer and he was no different than anyone else—except he knew that wasn't true. Indeed, in keeping with the SK profile, Defendant frequently admitted that he identified with the Devil, was the Devil, that he was

overcome by demonic gruesome images and desires, that he was filled with strange emotions and was recognized by others as an alien and “never matured properly.”

Murder Victims:

#1. John Freud (b. 1855, d. 1875):

Defendant murdered John Freud, his 6 month older half-brother, when he traveled abroad for the first time at 19 to Manchester, England from his home in Vienna, Austria. The trip took place in July and Defendant returned to Vienna in the early part of September. While in Manchester Defendant discovered that his real father was not Jacob (his nominal "father" in Vienna), but Emmanuel his supposed half-brother, who was 24 years older than him. Emmanuel had immigrated to England with his family and his couple years younger brother, Phillip, when there was a family breakup when Freud was a toddler of 3 years. The family break-up was no doubt related to the problem of family incest, Defendant's mother having sex with her sons-in-law, the jealousy of the “old” 40-year old husband who married Freud's young 20-year old mother, etc. It was Emanuel who had impregnated his mother, Amalia, who was about 18 at the time.

Arriving in England for his first visit abroad, Defendant had admittedly strong incest desires for other members of his "English" family. He especially had violent sexually desires for his half-brother, John, and his half-sister, Pauline, who was six months younger than himself. Defendant probably had homosexual relations with John when he came to live with the Freud family in Vienna for about a year when he was fourteen. Defendant's murderous sexual passions were fired along with his enormous appetite for resentment—resentment at having been abandoned by his real father as a child, resentment that he didn't get to live the good life in England, resentment that he didn't get to have sex all those years with John and Pauline, as Emmanuel and his brother had had with his mother. The evidence suggests that Defendant raped Pauline and raped and murdered John probably at the same time while in England. Defendant Freud years later

confessed to having desires for “unruly homosexuality” which was at the root of his psychopathology. As Defendant confessed to desire for the “gruesome” his “unruly” homosexuality was obvious deviant behavior from any point of view. Freud’s murder of John was quite likely also a sex murder, which is quite common with SKs.

Evidence also suggests Defendant murdered John Freud with a long knife, castrated him, and probably raped his dead body. Freud continued to claim John was still alive, decades after he knew he was dead, because he had killed him. John Freud is the centerpiece of all of Defendant's murder confessions and all other victims are in some significant way related to this first (or rather presumed first) murder.

When: Summer, 1875, probably shortly after John’s 20th birthday, on August 13, 1875.

Where: Manchester, England, probably at the seashore of the Irish Sea.

Why: The motivation of SKs to murder is undetermined. The range of explanations includes medical conditions—biochemical imbalances, brain damage, deficient neurological development, early sexual molestation, etc. Nonetheless, in Freud's case, his murder of John was undoubtedly precipitated by jealousy, sexual desire and a lust to kill: discovery that John was his father's eldest son, that the "old man" Jacob who was his nominal father was actually his detested grandfather, etc. Freud developed a homosexual obsession for his half-brother and fantasized sexual torture as a sexual stimulant for his fantasies.

How: Unknown. Defendant’s confessions would indicate use of a knife and probably death by emasculation. Addition evidence indicates there was a struggle and Defendant received a deep gash on his chin (which he covered from view for the rest of his life with a beard).

Evidence: Freud lied about when he went to England "for the first time." He lied that John was

"still living" in Manchester 25 years after he had totally “vanished.” Yet, Defendant credits the death of John as the source of his homicidal mania. All letters to and from John Freud were destroyed. John’s photographs were destroyed; the family never spoke of him. He is listed as a "mysterious disappearance” in family genealogies. There is no evidence anywhere of his continued existence after 1875 when Freud visited England. In 1900, upon publication of his book *Interpretation of Dreams (ID)* Defendant admitted that it was John who was “ineradicably fixed in my unconscious mind” and through his book *ID (Traumdetung)* he confesses that the desire to murder John was the great fixation of his life which haunted all of his subsequent murder desires.

#2. Mathilde S.: (d. September 24, 1890)

Mathilde, like Pauline before her, had the bad luck of having, first name much in use in the Defendant's family, and Defendant liked to name his family members after the "ghosts" of his departed ones, as he specifically tells us. Mathilde S. was experimented on. She was repeatedly injected with massive doses of a sex hypnotic, even though Defendant states he was treating her for "Graves disease," an ophthalmological condition. Incredibly, these medical records have been discovered and published, in 1990—a hundred years after the fact. A prominent historian of science and medicine, provided references to me of these records supplied by Prof. Dr. D. v. Englehardt in a private communication. These records show that Defendant forged or had forged the medical documents or else lied about having killed her. Her death was listed as due to peritonitis. Defendant confesses to the fact that he did kill Mathilde by “poisoning” (use of Sulphonol)—which must have been regarded as an experimental drug at the time as it had just come on the market. Probably Defendant, as he had with cocaine, promoted the use for the pharmaceutical company. Defendant refers to Mathilde as another one of his "ghosts"—and one to whom he was much attracted. Mathilde was admitted to Svetlin Hospital by Defendant with the diagnosis of “mania gravis (“severe mania”) not “Graves disease.” The diagnosis fraudulently manufactured by Defendant

may well have been a private joke of his—her disease, once Defendant got a hold of her, was a “grave” disease. Get it? Mathilde was treated with hypnosis, and otherwise experimented with. Hospital records indicate that Mathilde came totally under Defendant’s influence and “she made a whole cult out of worshipping her doctor,” the Defendant. Thus, Mathilde was possibly Freud’s first convert to the religion of Freudianism! She complained of being pregnant, her feces were her children, which she hid under her pillow from the orderlies. Later she came to wear her bedpan as a helmet and thought she was Joan of Arc. Which of her symptoms were caused by her medications and which were originally psychiatric is difficult to know as her medications caused many of the symptoms she had.

When: September 24, 1890 (Some 6 months after her release from the hospital)

Where: Unknown

How: Injections of sexual hypnotics causing hematuria -- urine turns blood red.

Why: She had the name that turned him on Mathilde, he named his daughter Mathilda; his father Emmanuel in Manchester had a daughter who died (without a death certificate) named Mathilda. He was also sexually attracted to her.

Evidence: Defendant’s writings. Defendant admits to killing her - with "incautious injections of an unsuitable drug." Mathilde's death certificate was faked to conceal her cause of death. The cause of her death was given as peritonitis. Medical records establish that she died from injections of Sulphonal.

#3. Dr. Joseph Paneth: (1857-1890)

Joseph Paneth's death is enigmatic in reference to Defendant's confessions about him. Freud admits to musing over his death and concluding that, yes, he had killed him, he had killed him because, like Brutus before him he had to kill the ambitious Caesar. And Freud specifically links his “killing” of Paneth to his killing of John Freud. Paneth is in the pattern, he loaned Defendant a lot of money, was

his superior in Freud’s mind, further advanced in his career, though younger in age, etc. Paneth supposedly died of tuberculosis. Freud did at least on occasion act as his doctor. It is further a fact that Freud confessed to wanting to kill a number of his patients. Defendant Freud’s own confession was that he "killed him because he was ambitious." The statement was made in the context of it being only a “dream” but when an SK confesses to another victim, it always warrants investigation, even though SKs are also notorious liars and quite capable of confessing to murders they did not commit—because they like to take “credit” for murders. If Defendant did not actually have a role in Paneth’s death his claiming to have killed him is further evidence of his SK profile.

When: 1890

Where: Vienna

How: Unknown. Reported cause of death: tuberculosis.

Why: Jealous. Wanted to sexually torture him, to "ride him" He was his "superior" and Defendant wanted him out of the way. Freud says he killed him because "he was ambitious." Defendant hated him because he was a man of independent means and he was deeply indebted to him for his kindnesses.

Evidence: Freud's confessions in the *Interpretation of Dreams*.

#4. Pauline Silberstein (b.7/22/1871, d. May 14, 1891)

Pauline Silberstein was the young daughter of the wealthy Theiler family, and the new wife of Defendant's most passionately loved and hated friend of his early adolescence and teenage years, Edward Silberstein. [Interestingly, news of this death was not revealed until some six years after publication of *Passion for Murder: The Untold Story Of Freud's Homicidal Deeds*]. Pauline, it seems, came to Freud's office in Vienna from Jassy,

Romania, about 150 miles away, on the advice of her husband, Edward. The first time Defendant ever saw his boyfriend's wife, within an hour of their alleged meeting, she was dead. Pauline allegedly "jumped to her death." Freud only mentioned the fact that he had ever known of her in a letter of 1928 where he said that he had "once had occasion to attend his [Silberstein's] first wife." This is a strange claim, as it was claimed in the newspaper article that carried the story of Pauline's death that Freud never treated her—*he never saw her*. She allegedly committed suicide *before* ever seeing Defendant for treatment.

Defendant's early letters to Silberstein clearly tell him that one day he will punish him severely, so that he will never forget it. The facts of the death of Pauline were quashed by the newspaper that reported the story (no doubt under pressure). The name of the "treating" doctor (i.e., Defendant Freud) was left out of the story; the identity of the victim went nameless (though she was of a very prominent family) so, too, the fact that the woman was the recent bride of his lover friend, Edward Silberstein, a very prominent citizen. Details cry out for investigation. Silberstein's granddaughter asserts that Pauline was "unsuccessfully treated" by Freud, a fact she says was personally confirmed by Defendant's daughter, Anna Freud, shortly before her death. How Anna would have known about the matter is anyone's guess. The newspaper account of her death claims that Pauline *never saw* Freud, that when she arrived from Jassy she told her maid to wait downstairs and "instead of going to the consulting rooms, threw herself to her death from the fourth floor."

The question remains: why has this extraordinary situation of Defendant's loved and hated best friend's wife's "suicide" in Defendant's office, been totally censored from the huge detailed biographies of Freud's life? Why are there conflicting stories that he never treated her but that she went to his offices and without even seeing Freud killed herself at his office—even though Defendant himself says he "once attended" her? One doesn't "attend" someone one has never seen.

The death of Pauline may have involved a murder-

for-hire scheme. Shortly after the death of Silberstein's wife (May 14, 1891) the impoverished Defendant suddenly moved into new and more expensive quarters (at 19 Berggasse) in August or early September. After Pauline's death Defendant's finances seemed to have greatly improved.

At the time of Pauline's death, Freud was in correspondence with Wilhelm Fliess but there is no mention of the "suicide" in any of his surviving letters to Fliess (that we know of) or in any other of Defendant's massive correspondence. In Freud's confessions of involvements in other's deaths Pauline is never mentioned—of which there are a number of instances otherwise noted. Surely he did not hide the fact of Pauline Silberstein's wife's death from his closest friend Fliess—or did he? Probably his letter concerning the matter was destroyed.

When: May 14, 1891

Where: Freud's medical office, Vienna

How: Pushed her out of the 4th story floor.

Why: Revenge against his homosexual lover friend of many years, Edward Silberstein, or perhaps a murder-for-hire contract from a close friend who well knew Freud was capable of murder.

Evidence: Freud's letter's indicating someday he would punish Silberstein severely. This biographically hugely important event was totally suppressed in Freud's biographies for over 100 years. Name of victim, doctor, and address totally censored in newspapers—doctors again covering for doctors?

#5. Dr. Ernst Von Fliesschl: (d. October 22, 1891)

Defendant also admits to having "hastened" this loved and passionately hated "superior" to his death. Fliesschl (whose name in German rhymes with the German word for "meat") was addicted to cocaine by Defendant who hooked him and got him under his control. Again, Defendant himself says he

“hastened” Flieschl’s death and received grave reproaches from the authorities for the role he played in Flieschl’s death.

Defendant admitted he wanted to have himself raised in the eyes of the world because of his connection with Fleischl's recognized genius for scientific work. Defendant wanted Flieschl in pieces, mutilated. Defendant admitted to dreaming of, upon his death, worshiping in Flieschl’s “mutilated temple.” Defendant's necrophilia is at its most obvious, self-declared, peak with Flieschl—so much so that Defendant wanted to devour his victim. Flieschl also had the name of JOHN, or JON, the Russian equivalent of which is VON—the Russian version for the name John. Defendant's mother hailed from Russia and Defendant repeatedly makes the point that the *sound associations* with the names of John were *decisive* to activating his psychopathological “triggers” inciting his passion for murder. Defendant's homosexual desire for Flieschl is amply evidenced. Defendant probably had his way with Flieschl when he had him crying in suffering collapse, begging for another injection—a situation which actually happened more than once. Defendant phantacised Flieschl stealing his fiancé away. In short, he “loved” and hated him with a passion. Even before Freud got him addicted, he declared that he phantacised on Flieschl’s death and rhapsodized on what a moving even it would be. This before there was any threat at all that Flieschl was about to die.

When: October 1891

Where: Vienna, in Flieschl's home

How: Repeated massive injections of cocaine and morphine.

Why: Sexual murder, Freud had a homosexual obsession for Flieschl, was jealous of him wanted to be dominated by Fleischl and wanted to dominate. He was also financially deeply in Flieschl’s debt. Defendant was a “sponge,” as apparently his grandfather (posing as his “father” was) and constantly borrowed money from others.

Evidence: Freud's admission that he killed Flieschl, that he had hastened his death and was

severely reproached by the authorities over the matter. Flieschl is one of the cornerstone murders to which Freud confesses and repeatedly refers to in the *Interpretation of Dreams*

MUTILATION, MALPRACTICE & FRAUD

Mutilation Victims:

#6. Emma Eckstein, et al: Emma (aka "Irma" of the Dream of Irma, 1895)

“Irma” was a young beautiful widower. Freud had a crush on her. She liked to talk about gruesome sexual matters and greatly excited Defendant. He arranged for experimental tortures for her at the hand of his great lover, the so-called greatest Kepler of Medicine the world has ever known, the Mad Nose Doctor, Dr. Fliess, who claimed to cure sexual problems of women by operating on the noses of his patients. Defendant sent numerous patients to Dr. Fliess in Berlin for these operations and had a number of operations performed on himself, as he had a “biological sympathy” for “going under the knife” of his lover-genius surgeon, the mad cap numerologist, Defendant’s “Dearest One.” Emma was mutilated in the horrid medical experiments on her, her nose caved in, she hemorrhaged two bowlfuls of blood. She was an invalid for life. She did not die, but as Defendant observed during massive hemorrhaging in another 30 seconds she would have been dead had not competent medical help come upon the scene. Other victims sent to Fliess by Defendant may have died—as evidence suggests. More discovery is needed to produce the names of other victims. Defendant’s letters speak of wanting to punish her and to “inflict” the best on her. Numerous biographers have opined that the record of Freud’s letters clearly indicate a homicidal intent. It was only by accident that she did not die. Apparently Dr. Fliess and Defendant had sex with her during the “operations.” Even Freud’s own doctor, Dr. Schur, himself a Freudian and biographer of Defendant (as well as a former President of the American Psychoanalytical Society) admitted that the situation was clearly one of “malpractice in any court for this nearly fatal error.” Dr. Schur tried to hide the fact that it was not an “error” but intentional and Defendant, too, should have been imprisoned, at least, for his part in

the gruesome affair.

#7. Nathan Wise: (d. 1883)

The case of Nathan Wise cries out to be heard—though the case has been completely glossed over. It was in September 13, 1883 (Defendant's first victim was born on the 13th), Nathan had just gotten married a few days previously. Defendant insinuated his way into a relationship with Nathan and demanded to read all his intimate correspondence. Defendant had influence over Wise who was both awed and afraid of Defendant, with good reason. Nathan repeatedly loaned Defendant money. In fact, Defendant said he had willed his estate to him in case of his death—an odd anticipation. Defendant at the time of the death was out of a job or soon to be and was desperate. No one wanted him at the university. He had been kicked out of almost every discipline he attempted in medicine for lack of competence and baseless unscientific claims. Nathan, as Defendant called him his "banker," got married (the end of his "touch"?). Wise returned after a few brief days of honeymoon, then he was found in the public bathroom hanging from a noose. Defendant is consumed with all the details about his "friend's" death. He writes fevered letters to his fiancé about it, with an amazing mass of totally self-conflicting statements on the same page, often in the same paragraph. He is afraid. He is excited. He hails his dear friend. He says he was worthless. He was a great genius and talent, and he was a nobody going nowhere, all this in the same letter. Two days after his funeral, Freud took his job at the University, and later took his room, took his position in the world—just as he had taken or intended to take his older brother John's position in the world. Nathan Wise's parents were convinced he was murdered and vociferously declared so at the funeral in which Defendant was in attendance.

When: September 13, 1883

Where: Vienna

Why: Defendant was the beneficiary of Nathan's will on his death; Defendant took over his position at the clinic; Defendant took over his apartment with Nathan's death
Nathan was in his way.

How: By "Suicide" Hanging In Public Bathroom

Evidence: Freud's personal letters

#9. Dr. Victor Tausk (d. July 3, 1919):

Tausk was a highly intelligent man, a Jurist, Journalist, Psychoanalyst, etc. Freud feared he was telepathic and could read his mind. He wanted him out of the way and contrived to try to devastate Tausk in every possible way. An entire book was written on the "Tausk affair" by Professor Paul Roazen (*Brother Animal: The Story of Freud and Tausk*) It is important because it was apparent to everyone that Defendant wanted Tausk out of the way, then, suddenly, a few days before he was to marry, remarkably similar case to Nathan Wise's, he committed suicide by allegedly hanging himself, under the strange condition that he allegedly shot himself also in the head while he was in the act of falling while hanging himself. Freud showed no sympathy for this stellar figure in their group, because Tausk had outlived his usefulness to the movement of psychoanalysis. Roazen, clearly himself a Freudian, described Defendant's reaction to Dr. Tausk's death as "inhuman." Defendant said that the once much admired Tausk was now long taken to be "useless, indeed a threat to the future." Though Roazen is himself half-mad in his cultist devotion to Defendant, he clearly believed that Defendant had a conscious hand in precipitating the "suicide" of Dr. Tausk. Defendant's letters concerning the death of Dr. Tausk have been severely censored and need to be made available to a criminal enquiry.

John Does 1 through X, et al.

Victims of Defendant's fraudulent cure for morphinism which killed scores. **if not hundreds of people**, people who could have lived for decades with their disease, but who perished immediately upon being injected as recommended by Defendant "without hesitation" with the contra-indicated cocaine. Historians of science are not wont to even mention the reality of this situation, though it is prominently commented on by all major biographers of Defendant. Defendant in his reckless

desire to become famous fabricated claims of cures for morphinism and case histories fraudulently “proving” the efficacy of his treatment methodology. The deaths and case histories all constitute criminal acts warranting capital punishment.

CONCLUSION:

In the trial of Defendant Sigismund Freud, it will be established that Defendant was a Serial Killer who readily confessed to deriving great satisfaction from his murderous and gruesome preoccupations. Defendant was at all times absolutely unrepentant of any of his crimes. Trial will establish that Defendant destroyed a great deal of primary evidence to conceal his crimes from discovery. Through a pattern of repeated lies, Defendant tried to totally hide the death of his half-brother. Indeed, he commemorated his Non-Existence in his discussion of an alleged dream called Non Vixit—or, “He Never Existed.” It will be further established that Defendant had a life-long hatred for anyone who deserted him, lost interest in him, preferred someone to himself, or opposed him in any way. Further, if anyone close to Defendant fell into any one of those categories warranting his hatred, he considered them fit for extermination. It will be established that all of Defendant's victims harkened back, in one way or another, to a connecting link with Defendant's sexual murder of his half-brother, John Freud.

Much evidence has already been presented in *Passion for Murder: The Homicidal Deeds of Dr. Sigmund Freud*. New evidence, since that publication has emerged, and, no doubt, will continue to further emerge as censorship of Defendants personal letters is removed.

Further evidence will be adduced and presented showing that vital evidence of Defendant's morbid condition has been covered-up to protect his world-wide fame, the intellectual standing of Defendant in the Western World and for the

profession of psychoanalysis, in particular—disclosure of the facts of Defendant's criminal doings would no doubt be devastating to the multimillion dollar psychoanalysis industry. It will be shown that, in fact, Defendant was a SK and that his last victim was himself and that he did not give up his passion for murder until his own dying breath.

The evidence that will be presented at a trial *in absentia* will include the following:

Evidence:

- Defendant's personal letters
- Police records
- Medical records of victims
- Death Certificates
- Reports of Death Certificates
- Newspaper reports of deaths
- Defendant's professional writings
- Family records/genealogy tables
- Interviews with Defendant
- Scholarly biographies
- Reports from Defendant's doctors
- Official Census Record/England

Evidence Defendant was regarded as a criminal by other members of high standing in the Vienna Medical establishment

Defendant's own doctor's diagnosis :

“Moral Insanity”: Dr. Joseph Breuer (personal physician and supervisor)

“Brother Murder Complex”: Dr. Max Schur (personal physician and psychoanalyst)

“Thought Reader”: Reads his own mind into those of his patients; Dr. Wilhelm Fliess (personal physician, confidant, collaborator)

© Eric Miller, January, 2009